



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_54565
Title of the Manuscript:	Stomach cancer and parabens
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Relevant manuscript for the area of oncology, mainly because it brings the association between stomach cancer and parabens, but it is essential to make adjustments with a view to scientific publication. I suggest these adjustments for material improvement:</p> <p>Abstract: It becomes necessary that the authors rewrite the abstract in order to contemplate the adjustments that are necessary to make in the text. It should be stressed that it is important that the authors pay attention to the following items: <u>Objective:</u> presented in the abstract must present the same wording as inside the article. <u>Method:</u> The methodology adopted for the development of the study must be described (type of study, methodological approach, place where the research was carried out, the participants, if there was an instrument for collecting information from the participants and what instrument this would be. <u>Conclusion:</u> must be deepened in order to generate interest in the reader, the authors must make clear in the conclusion what they (authors) concluded with the results of the study.</p> <p>Method: authors should include information on: study approach and type; research development site; sample calculation and study participants; instruments used in data collection; data collection period; research variables; if statistical analysis was used and inform which; inform about ethical aspects.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: the authors chose to describe the results and the discussion on the same topic, but in reading the article there is only evidence of the results, and it is necessary that these be discussed based on the national and international scientific literature.</p> <p>Conclusion: the way in which the authors present the conclusion there is only the resumption of the results of the study. Thus, it is necessary that the authors review this topic presenting what the results of the study led them as researchers to conclude.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>The authors do not cite the study's ethical considerations with regard to the approval number of the ethics committee. It is necessary to verify the approval of the study.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fabiana Lopes Joaquim
Department, University & Country	Brazil