

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_72132
Title of the Manuscript:	Cough trick technique on vaccination prick pain among preschool children
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract result ,it is mentioned that , “Independent't' test reveals that there is a significant difference between experimental group and control groups after giving at the level of P<0.05.” It mentions after giving at the level of..... the sentence is inconclusive.</p> <p>Material Method section in the full article mentions Assent consent was obtained after assuring confidentiality. Kindly note assent form is not required for this age group children.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Many places awkward grammar and sentences makes reader difficult to understand the message from the paper .</p> <p>For example : Method in the study mentions that , FLACC scale is standardized scale as well observation checklist of Face, Legs, Activity, Cry, and Consolability and interpreted as 0 - no pain, 1-3: mild pain, 4-6: moderate pain, 7-10: severe pain</p>	
Optional/General comments	FLACC scale should be attached as supplementary file	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ajay Sankhe</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Bhaktivedanta Hospital and Research Institute, India</i>