



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_65788
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative analysis of different carboneous waste for the formulation of erasable ink for white board marker refill
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>The current manuscript (Ms_JMSRR_65788 ) deals with the <b>Comparative analysis of different carboneous waste for the formulation of erasable ink for white board marker refill</b>. The followings are the specific concerns of this manuscript that need to be addressed properly before publishing.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Title (line no. 1 and 2), last sentence of introduction (line no. 56, 57 and 58) and first sentence of conclusion (line no. 169, 170 and 171) are inconsistent. Need to rewrite again carefully.</li> <li>2. In Abstract line no. 4 after the word erasable link, need to put one verb like prepared or others.</li> <li>3. In Abstract need to write as sentence case. Please see the Red marks words.</li> <li>4. In abstract line no. 11 the term <i>colorfastness, colloidal stability, didn't find other location in the manuscript. Please erase or give explanation in the manuscript.</i></li> <li>5. In Material and Method section, line no. 64 and 65 indicated as red marks, rewrite again as a complete sentence.</li> <li>6. Experimental procedure section, line no. 91, need to change achieved with achieve.</li> <li>7. Table caption need to write as Sentence case.</li> <li>8. Usually drying time increases with increasing the viscosity (<b>See Ref. paper: Nwosibe PO, et al. Erasable Ink Production for White Board Marker Using Locally Sourced Dye. Med &amp; Analy Chem Int J 2018, 2(2): 000117. )</b>  But this study, it was not found. The statement (line no. 154 and 155) is not correct regarding table no. 4. Need clear description for such unusual behavior of viscosity and drying time.</li> <li>9. Need pH value test for comparison of standard ink.</li> <li>10. Need to compare Chemical Test Analysis results of standard and prepared samples.</li> </ol>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<p>11. The term gum Arabic or Arabic gum. Need to write same throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>12. Please check reference no. 6 and write accordingly.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nurul Isalm</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rajshahi University of Engineering &amp; Technology, Bangladesh</b>