

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_76211
Title of the Manuscript:	Zero-Achievers Non-Progressing Studies in Open University: Three Preventive Factors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no Introduction in abstract. Please add 1 or 2 lines of Introduction in abstract. 2. Please include a acknowledgement. If it is self-funded, I suggest: This research is self-funded 3. Please include conflict of interest. I suggest: The authors confirm there are no conflict of interest involve with any parties in this research study. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This is an interesting study and the authors have collected a good dataset. The paper is generally well written but not well structured. It would be better if the authors have structured it accordingly 1) INTRODUCTION 2) LITERATURE REVIEW 3) METHODOLOGY 4) RESULTS AND FINDINGS 5) CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS In this case, Literature Review section is missing 2. Please elaborate more in what way this research can contribute to the university students. 	
Optional/General comments	Go through your manuscript again. Take your time and write your paper patiently. Slowly but surely. I am sure u will improve a lot. Good luck!	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naziah Abd Kadir
Department, University & Country	University Selangor, Malaysia