

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_75632
Title of the Manuscript:	SCHOOL BASED ACTION RESEARCH ON IMPLEMENTATION OF LIFE SKILL EDUCATION FOR POSITIVE BEHAVIOUR OUTCOME
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>There are many typos.</p> <p>There was an important deconfiguration of graphs and tables, also essential for understanding the proposal of the article.</p> <p>They must be corrected for text comprehension</p> <p>Some references are not located throughout the text, making the analysis impossible.</p> <p>Inside the text: "Sampling: 10 students from each standard" I'm not sure what was termed the standard: age, social class, school year?</p> <p>There are too many graphics, which makes reading tiring, some can be replaced by information inserted along the text.</p> <p>I had technical difficulties to read the discussion because the text is disfigured, however it appears that it was separated into items and I was not able to correlate these items with the initial objective.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Maria Lucia S G Jorge</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Brazil</i>