



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JESBS_67100   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | INFLUENCE OF IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GOVERNMENT POLICIES ON TRANSITION RATE OF ECDE CHILDREN FROM PUBLIC PRESCHOOLS TO PUBLIC PRIMARY SCHOOL IN KAPSERET SUB COUNTY, UASIN GISHU COUNTY. |
| Type of the Article      | Research Article   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) In the Abstract the acronyms " ECDE, ECD" must be given in complete words. A person who will read the Abstract must understand exactly what the manuscript it presents and what it refers to.</li> <li>2) The acronyms "ECDE, CDF, and CBC " must be completely mentioned in the text at the first time to indicate the full words and then be used as short semantics in the text.</li> <li>3) Table 2 and Table 3 use "SD, D, UN, A, SA" as abbreviations. The full words of the abbreviations are referred to in the text respectively as " strongly disagree, disagreed, undecided, agree, strongly agree". It would be good if the full words of the acronyms "SD, D, UN, A, SA" are mentioned in the caption of the tables and not only in the text, for a better understanding.</li> </ol> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Figure 1 is very important and useful to understand the manuscript. It would be good to have more extensive comments in its caption, for a better understanding.</li> </ol>   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) In Abstract, the "version 21.0" report could be omitted. It is not important data and it could only be mentioned in the main text.</li> <li>2) Table 1 in the text is referred to as "Table 4.1". I think this is wrong and needs to be corrected.</li> </ol>   |   |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i><br><br>The manuscript presents a survey conducted using questionnaires and interviewing an adult audience with their consent. |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b><i>Eleni Siamtanidou</i></b>                            |
| Department, University & Country | <b><i>Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece</i></b> |