



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_53742
Title of the Manuscript:	Contraceptive Use among Senior Secondary School Students in Abakaliki Metropolis, Ebonyi State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Abstract: well written, but some grammar and spelling mistakes need to be corrected. For instance 'Place and Duration of Study: Public secondary schools in Abakaliki metropolis, between', this statement is hanging so it needs to be completed.</p> <p>Results: Table 2 indicates that more than 20% of the students were aware of other FP methods other than condoms yet in Table 3 only condoms and natural methods are listed among the FP methods in use... the authors need to revisit the data and show the use of other FP methods in Table 3.</p> <p>Discussion: The authors may need to compare their findings to more comparable studies for instance level of awareness on FP methods among secondary students can not be compared to that of university students. Authors also need to include more study findings in relation to their findings to generate the discussion.</p> <p>The discussion seems to point out that condoms are not modern FP methods, however, It should be noted that condoms are now internationally considered as modern FP methods... among others. The authors need to review the new guidance using the 2018 FP Global handbook.</p> <p>Among factors influencing contraceptive use, increase in age and decrease in educational level increase contraceptive use. This is quite interesting finding that authors need to clearly demonstrate and explain. The findings show that students aged 18 and above had a higher likelihood of using contraceptives yet the same study shows that students in lower classes (who are most likely below 18 yrs) are more likely to use contraceptives... this seems contradictory a bit.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>Key words need revision to depict the intended purpose, for instance Ebonyi state can not be a key word for this study..it's just a study area. 'Keywords: Contraceptives, Adolescents, Abakaliki, Ebonyi state, Nigeria'</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Good study although it lacks critical information of source of contraceptives among the students which is a major determinant of contraceptive use among young people in school. Issues of access to FP methods have not been explained at all</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Gloria Kirungi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Uganda Christian University, Uganda</i>