



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_68384
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of the Hardened Properties of Eco-Friendly Concrete Containing Ground Granulated Blast-furnace Slag
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Very good paper structure (organization into sections, subsections) Introduction & conclusion focus clearly on the main point Clearly stated purpose and objectives – very good Good topic and level of formality appropriate for audience Adequate references and resource material	
Minor REVISION comments	Supporting material persuasive The quality of presentations is very good.	
Optional/General comments	The paper is well written and well organized. For this reason I consider that it is publishable and will be of interest to a number of its readers	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Toderas Mihaela
Department, University & Country	University of Petrosani, Romania