



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_67829
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochemical Methane Potential Assessment by Anaerobic Digestion of locally available Grasses of Phuentsholing, Bhutan.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) During the first 72 hours, you have observed severe drop in pH, then how you can measure the AD stability onwards? 2) Biogas production should be measured for at least 40 days not for 3 days 3) You have measured DO and BOD, why you have not preferred CODs? 4) Biogas reactor volume of 0.0226 m³, please measure all these volumes in litre so that easy to be read and understand 5) English needs much improvements 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Hassan
Department, University & Country	National University of Sciences & Technology, Pakistan