



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_64463
Title of the Manuscript:	OPTIMIZING THE TENSILE STRENGTH PROPERTY OF POLYSTYRENE LIGHTWEIGHT CONCRETE: A PANACEA FOR SUSTAINABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES.
Type of the Article	Research Article - Materials

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Conclusion - With only Tensile Strength it cannot conclude that results are adequate for residential properties, Compressive strength is very important for concrete structures.	
Minor REVISION comments	In abstract it is given as the split tensile strength results varies in between 18% to 19% of compressive strength, Include that in discussion also	
Optional/General comments	Paper is good , conclusions have to be modified.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C. Sashidhar
Department, University & Country	Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Anantapuramu, India