



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_57247
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Construction of Electric Fish Smoking Kiln Using Local Available Composite Materials
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Author(s) to compare kiln design with the design of others from the perspectives of structural components and choice of materials. What were the things your team did differently that set your design apart from others? It is important to include it under the results and discussion section. This is the significance of your design and innovation.	
Minor REVISION comments	Author(s) to provide missing citation. Follow comments and do the needful for quality improvement of the paper. For example Emokpae (Year). Author(s) should painstakingly address highlighted comments and suggestion.	
Optional/General comments	Generally, I love reading the work and agree that it is a significant contribution to scientific innovativeness. The work can significantly reduce post-harvest losses of fish, improve product quality and enhance the livelihood of the fisher folks. The paper is very good for consideration. It is suitable for promotion in rural areas of Africa where power is available.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olapade Olufemi Julius
Department, University & Country	Njala University, Sierra Leone