



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_54731
Title of the Manuscript:	Wafer Thinning Process and its Critical Manufacturability Requirements
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In my opinion, the topic is very interesting and important, and this work is well organized. Thus, I can recommend its publication in this journal only if my following minor concerns were addressed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) There are many problems with sentence structure, English grammar, verb tense, and clause construction. The English of your manuscript must be improved. (2) It is suggested that there is only one paragraph in the abstract part of this paper, and the abstract should include the research purpose, research methods, research results and conclusions. (3) The introduction part should introduce the research background, propose research questions, explain the purpose of the research, point out the innovation of the paper, and explain the originality, the advanced nature and the academic value of the research. (4) The conclusion part should include the important result, the important connotation of the result and the explanation or understanding of the result. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shigang Hu
Department, University & Country	University in Xiangtan, China