



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_57451
Title of the Manuscript:	From Fossil Fuels to Renewable Biogas Production from Biomass Based Feedstock – A Review of Anaerobic Digester Systems
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>In section 1.3, the sequence of the reference is inappropriate as reference 6 and 17 are cited before reference 15.</p> <p>In section 1.6, the second paragraph mentioned biomass without any clear sign of the significance of biomass as an energy resource.</p> <p>In section 2.1, line 5 with reference 39 need more focus with clarification of the statement. In the same section line 7, the author needs to mention why odor is major concerned in biomass energy as the author already mentioned less odor which further needs explanations. What is Wobbe index in the paper? As the review is all about the explanation of basic terminology. Hence clarify needed.</p> <p>The paper is composed of soo many subsections. Kindly sort it out. Avoid grammatical mistakes. There are soo many reactors mentioned by the author, kindly mention which reactor is most efficient amongst these.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>In section 2.4, figure 2 is wrongly mentioned as figure 1.</p> <p>In section 3.1, the abbreviation is required for CM and WH (In the second paragraph).</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sachin Mishra</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Electronics and Electrical Engineering, Lovely Professional University, India</b>