



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_68716
Title of the Manuscript:	GDP-PMI NEXUS: NIGERIA IN FOCUS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reference list is incompleted and many-body text references are not there in the reference list. As examples. Chien, 2016, • Arguments of the research are not well crafted. The concepts and variables of the study have not been well established. Need to establish the research gap rationally. The author has done a limited literature review and the conceptual development of the research has to be done using the literature review. • There are unclear and vague statements. These should be corrected. See the below example. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ "In a study involving the United States of America (USA), European Union (EU), Japan and China, Zhang, Xiao, Yang and He (2015) establish state-space equation" ○ A country can ask just five questions or more, as desired. (What the purpose of this statement. This study focus is not to inquire the questioner) • The paper should be English edited. See the below example. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Real GDP <u>data was</u> sourced (Data is a plural verb. Datum is the singular verb) • The correlation simply gives the association between variables and the sign of the correlation coefficient indicates the direction of the association which is a very simple and primary technique to achieve the purpose of the study. It is advisable to use advance and more robust analysis. Thus, may involve the testing of the linear or non-linear relationships of variables by using models such as ARIMA, ARCH, cointegration (for long-term analysis), and causality(for short-term analysis). 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •One of the main purposes of the litterateur review is to establish the research gap and develop the conceptual framework enhancing content validity. Further to enrich the discussion. But in this paper, the discussion is not supported with enough relevant literature. It is advisable to add more relevant literature to support the concepts presented in the paper. •Number of formatting mistakes could be observed throughout the article. Some of them are given below. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Inconsistence in formatting such as alignments ○ Margins are different on some pages ○ Unnecessary paragraph dividing ○ Unnecessary appendixes ○ Referencing style is not consistent. • In the conclusion to enhance the content validity, it is better to get the discussion from the literature world. 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	Better to give a problem statement since the problem is not well defined and it is given in vague terms. Also, the objective is not well written.	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pivithuru Janak Kumarasinghe
Department, University & Country	University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka