



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_58752
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPORT PERFORMANCE AND DIRECTION OF TRADE OF FRESH AND DRIED GRAPES (RAISIN) FROM AFGHANISTAN
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The title of the manuscript can be "EXPORT PERFORMANCE AND TRADE DIRECTION OF FRESH AND DRIED GRAPES (RAISIN): EVIDENCE FROM AFGHANISTAN. Some grammatical mistakes have been found that should be rectified. Such as, "Grapes is...", "Afghanistan produces...during 2018", "India is...in the next five years".</p> <p>2. JEL classification can be added below the abstract.</p> <p>3. The analysis of the manuscript seems accurate and robust. But, it should be presented more attractively in separate sections.</p> <p>4. The analysis and findings need to be shown in separate sections after data and methodology. Author has to clearly focus on the title and objectives of the manuscript and organize the analysis based on these.</p> <p>5. There should be a link among objective, findings and conclusion that is weak in this manuscript.</p> <p>6. Further research direction can be included in conclusion.</p> <p>7. Author did not follow the rules of referencing appropriately. The prescribed referencing style has to be followed for all the literatures and data sources that have been used in the manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>No</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Saiful Islam
Department, University & Country	Leading University, Bangladesh