



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_67593
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantitative Assessment of the Agro-physiological Advantages of Upward Tapping in relation to the Downward Tapping of the GT 1 and PB 260 Rubber Clones [Hevea brasiliensis, Muell. arg. (Euphorbiaceae)] in Southwest Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>EXPERIMENTAL:</p> <p>It is imperative to justify the height of the circumference collected at 1.70 meters. It is imperative to justify the height of the circumference collected at 1.70 meters.</p> <p>Does it have a physiological explanation for the evaluations to be between August and December, only? Describe it. Does it have a physiological explanation for the evaluations to be between August and December, only? Describe it.</p> <p>Insert the extensive design: 'Completely Randomized Design (CRD)' and describe the complete nomenclature of the test: 'Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK)'. Insert the outline long after: 'Completely Randomized Design (CRD)' and describe the complete nomenclature of the test: 'Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK)'.</p> <p>The Gain values (%) expressed in tables 2 to 7, were not closely presented in the methodology. I suggest doing them, without fail. The Gain values (%) expressed in tables 2 to 7, were not closely presented in the methodology. I suggest doing them, without fail.</p> <p>RESULTS:</p> <p>This value is not the same as the value shown in table 8 (0.62 b), insert the correct value! This value is not the same as the value shown in table 8 (0.62 b), insert the correct value!</p> <p>The number of tables may decrease with a reorganization of the information display. The number of tables may decrease with a reorganization of the exposure of the information.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Since the evaluation carried out has already shown advantages in relation to the objective of the work, I see the possibility of suggesting a change in the title: Since the evaluation carried out already highlights the advantages in relation to the objective of the work, I see a possibility of suggesting a change in the title: 'Agro-physiological advantages of Upward Tapping in relation to the Downward Tapping for two Rubber Clones of Hevea brasiliensis, Muell. arg. (Euphorbiaceae) in southwestern Ivory Coast '</p> <p>Keywords: I suggest leaving the specification of the clones in the keywords. I suggest leaving the specification of the clones in the keywords.</p> <p>To expand access to your work, I suggest replacing these keywords with other related words and avoid repeating the words mentioned in the title. To expand access to your work, I suggest replacing these keywords with other related words and avoid repeating the words mentioned in the title.</p> <p>EXPERIMENTAL: I also suggest inserting the height resulting from the end of the panel (which corresponds to the years 36, 21, 33.14) until the ground. Although it is a schematic figure on the recommendation of conducting bleeding in Côte d'Ivoire, I believe it is relevant to apply elements of technical design, such as leader lines, dotted lines for metric dimensioning of panels. The use of these elements will provide a harmonization of the scheme, for example, the arrows inserted to indicate the downward</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>tapping and upward tapping. I also suggest inserting the height resulting from the end of the panel (which corresponds to the years 36, 21, 33, 14) until the ground. Although it is a schematic figure on the recommendation of conducting bleeding in Côte d'Ivoire, I believe it is relevant to apply elements of technical design, such as leader lines, dotted lines for metric dimensioning of panels. The use of these elements will provide a harmonization of the scheme, for example, the arrows inserted to indicate the downward tapping and upward tapping. RESULTS:</p> <p>Tables 2 and 3, 4 and 5, 6 and 7, 8 and 9 have the possibility of being edited. I suggest that you reorganize each pair in just one table (2 and 3, 4 and 5, 6 and 7, 8 and 9) and check the calls in the text again. Tables 2 and 3, 4 and 5, 6 and 7, 8 and 9 have the possibility of being edited. I suggest that you reorganize each pair in just one table (2 and 3, 4 and 5, 6 and 7, 8 and 9) and check the calls in the text again.</p> <p>Tables 8 and 9 can be displayed differently, without the need for the page orientation to be "landscape", mainly because the result is the same in all panels. I suggest reorganizing the way of displaying this information in addition to joining tables 8 and 9. Tables 8 and 9 can be displayed differently, without the need for the page orientation to be "landscape", mainly because the result is the same in all panels. I suggest reorganizing the way of displaying this information in addition to joining tables 8 and 9.</p> <p>The graphs in figures 2 and 3 can compose one figure only. I suggest reorganizing them. Then, review the calls of these figures in the text. At the same time, the formatting of the letters and numbers in the graphics is different from the formatting of the text of the work. I suggest that the formatting / font is standardized. Additionally, remove the edges of the graph and figure, and format the x and y axes with maximum and minimum limits and order units with integer values. The graphs in figures 2 and 3 can compose one figure only. I suggest reorganizing them. Then, review the calls of these figures in the text. At the same time, the formatting of the letters and numbers of the graphs is different from the formatting of the text of the work. I suggest that the formatting / font should be standardized. Additionally, remove the edges of the graph and figure, and format the x and y axes with maximum and minimum limits and order units with integer values.</p> <p>Conclude with the term used throughout the work: «upward tapping». Conclude with the term used throughout the work: «upward tapping».</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I suggest that the work be revised in the formatting of paragraphs and spacing from the results. I suggest that the work be revised in the formatting of paragraphs and spacing from the results.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Chaiane Rodrigues Schneider</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidade Federal do Paraná (UFPR), Brazil</i>