



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_57138
Title of the Manuscript:	Improvement of genetic purity of breeder seed by introducing line cultivation method for Myanmar's major rice cultivars
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Well written manuscript that is easy to read through. Author should address the issue of using too long sentence that make it difficult to follow and understand. Simple short sentences are easy to follow and understand content. Author should try to clearly state the objectives of the study, as they clearly determine the materials and methods. Good conclusion derived from the current study, what is the implication of this result on rice seed production and general end-users (farmers).	
Minor REVISION comments	Author should attend to suggestions and corrections highlighted in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lennin Musundire
Department, University & Country	Kenya