



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_57561
Title of the Manuscript:	Homocysteinaemia in Heart Failure Patients in North Eastern Nigeria
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In Materials and Methods, it would be better if you used a chart to make a better understanding for the reader about the group classification Figure 1 is confusing, because the total it's not 100%. You should make it 100% if you want to make a pie chart NYHA stand for should be at the first time you used that term wich is at last paragraph of Material and Methods, instead of at table 3.	
Optional/General comments	It's a great study, it's just need a minor revision. Afterall it's a great article, good job.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Silviana Tirtasari
Department, University & Country	Tarumanagara University, Indonesia