

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_69310
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Home Based Care Program Supports to Hospital Based Care for Children Aged 1-14 years with Chronic and Terminal Illnesses in Meru County Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript still needs some reworking to be scientifically sound. An English language expert should revise the manuscript</p> <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please use more up-to-date references for the current sentence.,” In the year 2005, the burden of non-communicable diseases was assessed in twenty three low and middle income Countries and the data shows that they account for 50% of the total disease burden.....” <p>The manuscript needs serious English editing, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The following sentence in the Introduction section: “In the face of rising health care costs,..” is unclear or hard to follow. Consider rephrasing. - The introduction needs more details to describe the rationale for the study in the context of what is already known. Authors should refer more to conducted studies in this area. <p>Methods</p> <p>The methods are not well elaborated.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please provide statistical analysis in a separate section of the method. - Please more explain about the data collection (data collection tools, who and how data collection) <p>Discussions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The discussion section needs to be rewritten, focusing on comparing the study findings with previous similar studies and more explanations for controversial results. - The authors should describe the main limitations of this study. <p>Reference</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Reference list needs to be up-to-date. Please conduct a more extensive literature review. 	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The survey was carried out after thorough evaluation by the Meru University of Science and Technology (MUST) Institutional Research Ethics Review Committee (MIRERC) to ensure compliance to the ethical standards.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Azam Doustmohammadian</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran</i>