



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_59665
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical Outcome of Intravascular Ultrasound Guided left Main Coronary Intervention
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Discussion need to be revised. Individual components need to be discussed. Why the result differ from the other studies need to be explained with reason. The MACE at 6 months and 18 months need to be compared with the other international studies.	
Minor REVISION comments	As mentioned in the revised manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Is there a facility of heart team approach. Has the case been discussed with the surgical team. PCI in LM disease is a class IIa for ostial and shaft and IIb for diatal LM lesion. It need to be mentioned.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chandra Mani Poudel
Department, University & Country	Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Nepal