



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_55396
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Influencing Viral Load Non-Suppression among People Living with HIV (PLHIV) in Borno State, Nigeria: A Case of Umaru Shehu Ultra-Modern Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the article is very interesting unfortunately some aspects need to be taken into consideration as it must be an original production.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Many sentences contain in the introduction, in the discussion and in the chapter of MAJOR LIMITATION seems to be the same as found in a previous study: Bulage, L., Ssewanyana, I., Nankabirwa, V. <i>et al.</i> Factors Associated with Virological Non-suppression among HIV-Positive Patients on Antiretroviral Therapy in Uganda, August 2014–July 2015. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 17, 326 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-017-2428-3. We think the author copied some parts of this previous paper in their introduction and in their discussion. The paper is not so original an article. 2- Even if, the introduction is well designed, it is too long and can be more expressive with less words. 3- Methodology needs to be more detailed. 4- Marital status present according to table 1 an association statistically significant with VL. It will be interesting to explain and discuss this aspect. 5- In results presentation it said: "At multivariate analysis level, the odds of virologic failure decreased with age". But the analysis of table 3 seems to show that the odds increase from the low age to the high age. So if you mean decreased from older to younger, it will be good to be precise because there is a confusion there. 6- Discussions seem insufficient according to the results presented and need to be more developed. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

<p>If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.</p>	<p>Bulage, L., Ssewanyana, I., Nankabirwa, V. <i>et al.</i> Factors Associated with Virological Non-suppression among HIV-Positive Patients on Antiretroviral Therapy in Uganda, August 2014–July 2015. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 17, 326 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-017-2428-3 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/316694206 Factors Associated with Virological Non-suppression among HIV-Positive Patients on Antiretroviral Therapy in Uganda August 2014-July 2015</p>	
---	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moukaila Abdou Razak
Department, University & Country	botanique et l'environnement, Togo