



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_52890
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Seropositive VDRL cases amongst pregnant women at the Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria: Is routine screening for Syphilis using VDRL still relevant?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Conclusions: It is really not important to test for syphilis?. Only in tertiary or also in primary care?. It must answer those question briefly.	
Optional/General comments	It is cost effective to treat only one child with congenital syphilis? References: 50% are more than 15 years old	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martin Cuellar Torriente
Department, University & Country	University of the Free State, South Africa