



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_52221</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Quality of Life in Pediatric Cancer Patients</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>General observation: Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript. The authors have worked with very important group of population, that needs special emphasis in terms of care both clinically and psychologically. The objectives of the study were clearly stated. However, the manuscript should undergo extensive English editing by an expert or a native English-speaking colleague.</p> <p>The conclusion in the abstract section is not clear and does not reflect the objectives of the study. In the introduction section, the authors should avoid one sentence paragraph. They should also do more literature review which should include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Burden of cancer among the group</li> <li>Similar studies that utilized the Health-related quality of life tool and the outcome reported</li> <li>For each of the above give a global, regional and local perspective regarding what is known and unknown and tail off with a knowledge gap that needs addressing</li> </ol> <p>The last paragraph of introduction (lines 57-61) contains information that needs to be mentioned previously in the introduction, that is the Quality of Life tool. Description of the sociodemographic details that were collected is missing in the materials and methods section.</p> <p>In the results section, please provide the rationale of using 'age at diagnosis' and the cut-off point of seven years. Similarly, the variables 'duration of diagnosis' and 'therapy duration', please provide the rationale of using options '&gt; 6months' and '&gt;= 1 year', since the third option is included in the second option.</p> <p>There is no mention of data being collected from mothers in the methodology section. This is mentioned in the limitations section. That said, the study seems to about 'quality of life as perceived by <u>parents</u> of pediatric cancer patients'.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	N/A	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Yes, the authors need to obtain assent from the patients.</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Irene Kida Minja</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Tanzania</b>