



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_51851
Title of the Manuscript:	THE INDIGENOUS CULTURAL WAYS OF DIAGNOSING DISEASES IN THE ASHANTI REGION OF GHANA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Good article. A few aspects need attention.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no expansion of comparison in the results and discussions between findings of the orthodox versus the un orthodox. Remember acceptance has to show levels of comparison and belief in effectiveness. 2. Literature appears scarce in the study, however quite a number of studies have been done now and are available open access. 3. It will be strikingly interesting to have previous studies elsewhere compared especially at discussion level. 4. Much of the descriptive data needs the voice of the authors to give significance to the study. <p>The literature citations in text are few and old. Furthermore the referencing style needs to be consistent.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Referencing style should be consistent. Please use APA style.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Grammar still needs attention. Some sentences need to be made short.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gwisai Reginald Dennis
Department, University & Country	Bindura University of Science Education, Zimbabwe