



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_51544
Title of the Manuscript:	ADENOMATOUS HYPERPLASIA IN THE UTERUS OF BINGE DRINKING SPRAGUE DAWLEY RATS ADMINISTERED WITH GORDON DRY GIN (MORINGA CITRUS BLEND)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>INTRODUCTION PART IS NOT CLEAR. MORINGA CITRUS IS A PLANT EXTRACT OR WHAT. IT SHOULD BE MENTIONED. THE ANIMALS WERE TREATED WITH MORINGA EXTRACT AT THE DOSE OF 200 MG/KG. HOW THE DOSE WAS ARRIVED? THE LANGUAGE USED WAS VERY POOR AND IT SHOULD BE CHECKED THOROUGHLY. WHAT IS MORINGA EXTRACT? IT SHOULD BE MENTIONED PROPERLY. IN THE ABSTRACT AS WELL AS THE METHODS, THE DRUGS ARE ADMINISTERED THROUGH ORAL ROUTE BY USING ORAL GAVAGE. BUT THE SENTENCE IS TOO COMPLEX AND IT SHOULD BE AVOIDED. OVERALL THE MANUSCRIPT IS POOR.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Senthil Kumar Raju
Department, University & Country	Swamy Vivekanandha College of Pharmacy, India