



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50247
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF URINE CCA STRIPS FOR (FIELD) DIAGNOSIS OF ACTIVE SCHISTOSOMA MANSONI INFECTION IN A LOW ENDEMIC KENYAN COMMUNITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -On line 14 there should be "of" after detection i.e. it should read detection of <i>S. mansoni</i>. - The age range 6- 90 years old in the bracket was not properly placed. You can make a sentence that will state the age range you used for the study. <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -On line 35 and many other references you cited in the text, the order of arrangement of the multiple authors were not done appropriately. The oldest references should come before the most current. Ensure you maintain the same throughout the manuscript. -On line 37, delete the comma that you wrote before the reference in the bracket. - On line 37 & 38, there are two issues with that sentence: i. The word presently may not fit considering the year of publication of that work. ii. The word "than" is missing before the 3.5 million Kenyans. I will suggest you recast the sentence to take care of the two suggestions. -On line 123 you cited an author Doehring et al., 1985b. Checking through the entire manuscript there is no Doehring et al., 1985a. - On line 131, delete the word "by" before the reference in the bracket. <p>Statistics</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - State clearly the version of the SPSS statistical software used and the country it was produced. - Since you stated that $p < 0.05$ as significant you should try as much as possible repeating such in the text since the analysis can give you the exact p-values. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - On line 183, the name of the organism should be written appropriately i.e. the first letter for mansoni should be a small letter and not capital (<i>S. mansoni</i>). - On line 270 & 273, the authors were not cited appropriately i.e. it should read Booth et al.(2003) and Engels et al.(1996) respectively. - Ensure uniformity in your referencing style. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cross check the grammar to enhance readability of your audience. - Most of your literature are old, adding more current literature will add more value to the work. 	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>E. I. Bigwan</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>College of Health Sciences, University of Jos, Nigeria</i>