

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_75595
Title of the Manuscript:	A CONSTRUCTIVE METHOD FOR GENERATING SHORT PRESENTATIONS FOR THE SYMMETRIC GROUPS S_{m+n} , S_{2m} AND S_{mn}
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is serious and contemporary The author(s) offer a well-prepared work</p> <p>Abstract is good, it represents the paper as a whole</p> <p>Introduction and background is appropriate, clear, streamlined, and supported with relevant citations. Needs minor proofreading [see suggestions in corrected manuscript]</p> <p>Methodology is clear and the mathematical details are clear as well supported with relevant design parts and with relevant citation.</p> <p>Discussion is structured and organized with a clear and streamlined flow of representation of mathematical arguments [see details for minor corrections] Results represented clearly and supported scientifically</p> <p>Conclusion is adequate</p> <p>Review write up of references carefully for consistency</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon