



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_55049
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute and sub-acute toxicity studies of methanol leaf extract of <i>Asystasia vogeliana</i> in Albino Wistar rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Suggestions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ The use of medicinal plants in such novel studies is very important in terms of obtaining new potential drugs derived from plants. This manuscript is suitable for possible publication in <b>Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</b></li> </ul> <p>Some minor grammatical modifications and suggestions are indicated in the text. Please find in the revised fil</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The article is consistent with the publishing policies of the journal. It is interesting enough to attract other researchers. Paper's objective is put well, clear and sufficiently short. The paper's included al required components in the abstract section. The study is well summarized in general terms. The keywords were chosen appropriately by the authors. The study methods are sound and appropriate. The language used is understandable. Overall the used language is good.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Cumali Keskin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mardin Artuklu University, Turkey</b>