



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JAERI_55797
Title of the Manuscript:	Checklist of the Flora of Tutti Island, Khartoum Province, Central of Sudan.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The current work regarding checklist flora of Sudan is interesting. I have observed some mistakes/errors in manuscript. Please incorporate it well and resubmit it pretty soon. Following are some mistakes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In abstract "During a field survey conducted between August 2016 to December 2018 the flora of the tutti island has been inventoried. This study aims to define a check list on vascular plants of tutti island" I think this sentence is not suitable please provide some strong words for start of abstract. Like this (The current study was aimed to document and explore flora of.....during....). 2. In abstract Malvaceae what is this? Check all manuscript point by point there is lot of grammatical/spelling mistakes. Please incorporate it. 3. What is flora? Do you know about the term flora. In introduction section after study area details provide proper definition of flora like "The sum total of species present in specific geographical area" 4. Introduction is too short. Please add some new references and extend it about 1 and half pages. 5. Material and Methods I think it's materials and methods. Because a lot of materials like pencil, newspaper, pressor, knife, scissor, Hgcl2 soln, herbarium sheets, field diary, glue etc are the raw materials during field survey. 6. Table 1. Arrange clearly. You have made table 1. So rough. 7. Write botanical name complete in Table 1. (<i>A. viridis</i> L., <i>A. spinosus</i> L.) this is not correct according to IUCN rules. 8. <i>S. italic</i> Mill. Write it complete and check spelling I think its <i>Senna italica</i> 9. <i>Fagonia indica</i> <i>Burm.f.</i> it's wrong please write it in italic form. Botanical name must be in italic form while specific epithet name should be capital and normal. 10. Follow the journal standard format. 11. Check all spelling mistakes and grammatical mistakes. 12. Check spacing mistakes. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Accept after major correction	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Nauman Khan
Department, University & Country	Bacha Khan University, Pakistan