



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_54288
Title of the Manuscript:	An Engineering Approach to Roof top Gardening
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- In the abstract only the goal and results obtained have to be presented; it can begin from "This study focuses on the development of 2- In the abstract you must show your contribution to the problems related to the roof top garden. 3- Use the same form of characters (page5-6) 4- The work is good but needs to be compared to previous work in order to know the benefits of this tool regarding the other tools that are used. 5- References may need to be updated to include more recently published papers on the topic, which will help readers on the development in the field. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The technical content in terms of innovation and significance should be further emphasized. In particular, clarify of the motivation of practical use of the results developed is not clear. 2- You should give a scheme of your irrigation station and greenhouse structures for roof top garden. 3- Add the date of consultation of the websites 	
Optional/General comments	The presentation of the paper should be further improved, with particular care taken in clarifying the key contribution of the proposed manuscript.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mohammed Guerbaoui</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Moulay Ismail University, Morocco</i>