



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_52583
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VIVO EFFICACY OF ZINC SOLUBILIZING BACTERIA ON AVAILABLE ZINC CONTENT, GROWTH AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES OF PADDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>The article entitled, "In vivo efficacy of zinc solubilizing bacteria on available zinc content, growth and yield attributes of paddy". The article is interesting but there are many spelling and grammatically mistakes are seen in the manuscript. Therefore, I am recommending this article for publication in your esteemed journal after major revision with the following comments:</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Includes botanical name of paddy in the manuscript title. 2. Key words should be meaningful. 3. Separate results and discussion. 4. In line no. 287 correct the year of publication "20007;44(1): 44-47. " to as 2007; 44(1): 44-47". 5. Number of cited references are few and old therefore, cite more recent referances in the manuscript. 6. Follow the reference pattern of the journal. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>The article entitled, "In vivo efficacy of zinc solubilizing bacteria on available zinc content, growth and yield attributes of paddy". The article is interesting but there are many spelling and grammatically mistakes are seen in the manuscript. Therefore, I am recommending this article for publication in your esteemed journal after major revision with the following comments:</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Includes botanical name of paddy in the manuscript title. 2. Key words should be meaningful. 3. Separate results and discussion. 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>4. In line no. 287 correct the year of publication “20007;44(1): 44-47. “ to as 2007; 44(1): 44-47”.</p> <p>5. Number of cited references are few and old therefore, cite more recent referances in the manuscript.</p> <p>6. Follow the reference pattern of the journal.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The article entitled, “In vivo efficacy of zinc solubilizing bacteria on available zinc content, growth and yield attributes of paddy”. The article is interesting but there are many spelling and grammatically mistakes are seen in the manuscript. Therefore, I am recommending this article for publication in your esteemed journal after major revision with the following comments:</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Includes botanical name of paddy in the manuscript title.2. Key words should be meaningful.3. Separate results and discussion.4. In line no. 287 correct the year of publication “20007;44(1): 44-47. “ to as 2007; 44(1): 44-47”.5. Number of cited references are few and old therefore, cite more recent referances in the manuscript.6. Follow the reference pattern of the journal.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. K. Lal
Department, University & Country	CSIR-Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, India