



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAERI_52583 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | IN VIVO EFFICACY OF ZINC SOLUBILIZING BACTERIA ON AVAILABLE ZINC CONTENT, GROWTH AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES OF PADDY |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>Tables should show statistical analyses for mean separations using either Tukey's test or LSD test or Scheffe or Multiple Range test after ANOVA showed significantly difference in the treatments. All Tables that were corrected should be mentioned in all results accordingly. Use this statistical analysis to discuss either treatments had significant differences or not or compare among the treatments.</p> <p>Discussion should include findings of previous researches, either in agreement or in contrast of this experiment in all result sections.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Grammar and sentence structures should be corrected accordingly. | |
| Optional/General comments | Author can refer any journal to look how Table with statistical analyses were done. Unit must be properly written according to SI Units. Refer to SI to write unit. For example percentage should be written closely, i.e. 20% (right) and 20 % (wrong) | |



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No ethical issues found in this manuscript | |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Mohd Yusoff Abd Samad |
| Department, University & Country | Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia |