



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_52583
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VIVO EFFICACY OF ZINC SOLUBILIZING BACTERIA ON AVAILABLE ZINC CONTENT, GROWTH AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES OF PADDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The abstract of the study should be rewritten, especially part of the method and applications. The results can be discussed better. References are insufficient. It is suggested to completely reverse the entire manuscript. Corrections and additions suggestions were added to the text as a reviewer. Details can also be viewed through the text. The necessary amendments and opinions in this manuscript are mentioned on the manuscript. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Title should be revised again. All the sections of manuscript should be detailed (Method, Discussion, conclusion).	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is clear, with bad scientific quality. The manuscript is not well supported by a experimental design. Many inconsistencies in the design of the experiment were determined in this peer review. The results do not have scientific support because of the article has never been discussed. It has just take care in the introduction section of this article. Other sections are missing. Corrections and additions suggestions were added to the text as a reviewer.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fazilet Parlakova Karagöz
Department, University & Country	Atatürk University, Turkey