

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_71134
Title of the Manuscript:	Recent advances on the Synthesis, Reactions and Evaluation of the Biological Activities of Quinoxaline, Quinoxaline-2-one and Quinoxaline-2,3-dione
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Authors did not divide the sections clearly2. The conclusion was completely missed. Before acceptance, I think authors should revise their manuscript and rewrite following the template of journal3. There were missed some compounds such as: 146-152, 154-163, 170-176.4. Authors listed compound 169, but compound 169 was not pointed in manuscript5. In the title, authors noted that this manuscript is about "recent" advances on the Synthesis, Reactions and Evaluation of the Biological Activities of Quinoxaline, Quinoxaline-2-one and Quinoxaline-2,3-dione, but in the content authors listed the studies from 1980s till now. Thus, I suggest that authors should change the title or the content.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Authors should pay attention on some typing mistakes, which I marked as red2. "in vitro" was sometimes italicized, sometimes not;3. Sometimes authors wrote "anti-microbial", sometimes "antimicrobial"; or some other "anti" words were not the exception. Authors should write them in the same format.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript with title "Recent advances on the Synthesis, Reactions and Evaluation of the Biological Activities of Quinoxaline, Quinoxaline-2-one and Quinoxaline-2,3-dione" was about the synthesis, reaction and the biological activities of 178 kinds of Quinoxaline, Quinoxaline-2-one and Quinoxaline-2,3-dione from 1980. The content was palatial, but not deep. The sections were divided not clearly, and the conclusion part was not included. I suggest this manuscript can be accepted after major revision.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chiuyen Phan
Department, University & Country	Da Nang University of Foreign Language, Vietnam