



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_64231
Title of the Manuscript:	Pesticide Residues in Vegetables Collected from Different Markets of Navsari District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript reports a very good quality scientific work, however, the report has so many grammatical errors that need to be corrected. I kindly request to check the paper before published if it is to be published. More details to be added in the abstract.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract should be concise and informative. It should briefly describe the purpose of the work, techniques and methods used, major findings with important data and conclusions. This did not come out in this manuscript, the method used was never mentioned. 2. Generally non-standard abbreviations should not be used in the abstract, if necessary they should be clearly defined in the abstract, at first use. The author used abbreviations GC, LC and MLC which were never explained. 3. Different sub-sections (Aims, methodology, results and conclusions) should be used in the abstract as stated by the authors' guidelines. 4. The acknowledgements section is missing in the manuscript. 5. The authors did not follow the authors' guidelines on how to reference in the reference section. This has to be corrected. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rumbidzai Mangoyi
Department, University & Country	University of Zimbabwe, Zimbabwe