



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_56669
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional profiling of Spondias species – an underutilized fruits of the Eastern Himalayas
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This article consists of 8 total pages. Abstract, Title, Keywords 1 page, introduction 1 page, Material Method, 2 pages, Result and Discussion 2 (With table) pages, conclusion, 6 lines, Reference about 2 pages,</p> <p><b>Title:</b> Title can be changed. For example "Determination of the nutritional content of <i>Spondias</i> species in India"</p> <p><b>Key Words:</b> It is not suitable for working, north-eastern region can be removed and India can be added</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> It is enough for working.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> It is enough for working, but <i>Spondias purpurea</i> study data could be added</p> <p><b>Material Method:</b> It is enough for working.</p> <p><b>Results and discussion:</b> It is enough for working.</p> <p><b>Conclusions:</b> After all, no suggestions were made for this study. Should it be used as a fruit? Should it be used as a juice? Can these fruits be dried? When will the villagers gather? Can it be traded? What do you suggest?</p> <p><b>References:</b> 23 references were used.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>It would be better if a suggestion is added to the conclusion of this research.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eray Tulukcu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Selcuk, Turkey</b>