

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_70499
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Gender differences in depression- A cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript has at least two objectives which do not coincide exactly. 2. The authors must develop hypotheses in coherence with the purpose of the study. 3. The statistical analyses must aim at testing the hypotheses, specifying the variables involved and the nature of the associated data. 4. Ethical precautions should be detailed. 5. Authors must specify the sampling technical and justify the heterogeneity of the selected participants. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tables of result must be extensively commented on. 2. The links announced between certain variables (gender and Hamilton Depression rating) must be illustrated using statistical data. 3. Some sentences need to be rephrased. 4. The χ^2 statistics (P. 7, between table 2 and 3) should be commented on seriously. 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes of cause. When a study involves patients, authors should take ethical precautions appropriate to the situation. If authors are medical professionals, they should describe the distance between professional practice and their scientific study.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Nyockllouga Samuel</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Yaounde1 University, Cameroon</i>