



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_52839
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Socio-demographic Determinants of Vaccine Coverage for Pneumococcus and Rotavirus Among Under Five Children in Busolwe Town Council, Butaleja District, Eastern Uganda: A cross sectional study</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors did a great job that could be much more explored, considering the results of the difficulties in improving vaccine coverage. Perhaps they could suggest another manuscript related to health professionals' knowledge, attitudes, and practices when they receive a child with a late vaccine.</p> <p>please note the numbers highlighted in red Line 18 to 20 - inform bibliography Line 44 to 45 - inform bibliography Line 69 - exclude because it is present in the inclusion and exclusion criteria Parent(s) and/or caretaker to the U5C who refused to give informed consent were excluded. Line 98 to 99 - it is necessary to present how the sample calculation was performed. Line 152 – I believe it is necessary to use the same definition that is on the x axis Line 154 to 162 - maybe use another way to describe the data, maybe a table would be better Understood Line 177 - Table 1 I find it unnecessary to use Cumulative Freq Line 182 - Table 2 - insert Knowledge and perceptions at the top of the table Line 183 - Table 3 I find it unnecessary to use Cumulative Freq, and revise the word pneumonia Line 190 to 209 - these paragraphs should come before the Table 3 Line 210 to 213 - needs to be spelled out more clearly and should come before the table 3 Line 218 to 221 - this paragraphs should come before table 4 Line 261 to 354 - Insert the results of the above groups in the DISCUSSION, the findings are very rich and impactful in the results of the Vaccination Coverage and corroborate with many articles that are in the references. Line 357 to 384 - Here you can add a paragraph about the findings in the meetings and discussions, are relevant findings and should be worked on. Line 399 to 406 - must come before the results</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Indianara Maria Grando</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Brazil</b>