

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_69417
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Personal and Socioeconomic factors on the Demand for Caesarean Section among Female healthcare workers at the Komfo Anokye Teaching Hospital, KATH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract:</p> <p><i>Conclusion:</i> It is better to use unnecessary CS instead of non-medical CS</p> <p><i>Key words:</i> Please choose proper key word according to Mesh terms.</p> <p>Introduction: <i>Introduction section totally needs to be checked in terms of being more scientific and native. I consider it is better be rewritten.</i></p> <p>For instance: <i>....is a major abdominal surgery involving two incisions (cuts). One incision is through</i> Better be rewritten.... omit the parenthesis. example: "cut" can be deleted and following sentences is better be as follow. first, Laparotomy -an incision through the abdominal wall- and second, Hysterotomy- an incision involving the uterus-.</p> <p><i>.... Instead, they should be properly counselled about the merits and demerits of caesarean section and vaginal birth....</i> It's better to be written as below, Instead, consultation should be considered to clarify Advantage and disadvantage of doing CS is more formal...Please rewrite the whole paragraph maybe it's not proper as a scientific writing.</p> <p>Study Area</p> <p>Brief introduction of study area is enough. Please omit the excessive explanation</p> <p>Pre-testing</p> <p>What is the meaning of Non-study Hospital?</p> <p>Table 2</p> <p>According to the population it seems all participant's educational level are Tertiary so why do you used High school?!</p> <p>Discussion:</p> <p>Maybe should be rewrite. Be careful about putting reference in the right place.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Unnecessary use of parenthesis at introduction section Manuscript should be Edited, considering be more scientific and also native.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shiva Pourali Roudbaneh
Department, University & Country	University of Melbourne, Australia