



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_64478
Title of the Manuscript:	Insight on improving professional performance in dental practice: essentials of verbal and nonverbal communication in dentist-patient relationship (A Critical Review)
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is very well written. The manuscript addresses a theme rarely addressed in dentistry, forms of communication with the patient. The manuscript brings a less technical and more humanized approach to dental practice. However, the literature review methodology is somewhat flawed. It does not mention the databases consulted, the keywords or the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the articles. I suggest to better clarify the methodology used in the selection of references.	
Minor REVISION comments	References need to be corrected. Some do not inform the volume, number and pages of the article cited. In some references the year of publication is after the name of the authors (APA Standard), and in others, the year of publication is inserted at the end (similar to the Vancouver standard)	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luís Felipe Espíndola-Castro
Department, University & Country	Universidade de Pernambuco, Brazil