



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_55345
Title of the Manuscript:	Psychometric properties of SF-36 in sickle cell diseasepatients attending outpatient clinics inIbadan,Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is very interesting. However, it is difficult to read and understand the details for someone who is not already familiar with this quationnaires; for example the Authors refer to the domains and the factors, since the Introduction, and I think that the average reader needs some further explanation of what domains and factors are	
Minor REVISION comments	In the discussion "The fact that less than 40%indicated that they had full time or part time employment may be due to their inability"; the authors should compare the data with the rate of unemployment in the general population of Nigeria In the Methods "People who were under intensive care or had any cognitive disability were excluded from the study." Should be moved to the previous paragraph , Design and sample	
Optional/General comments	In general, I invite the Authors to provide more basical information about the questionnaire and how it works	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Giovanna Russo
Department, University & Country	University of Catania, Italy