



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_50015
Title of the Manuscript:	CD200 BREAKS THE DIAGNOSTIC DILEMMA BETWEEN ATYPICAL CLL AND OTHER CLPNS
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The Authors should insert and discuss better the papers on atypical CLL as indicated in the "objective evaluation" comments. The number of figures should be strongly reduced. The English editing should be checked.</p> <p>The paper is of interest. The use of CD200 as a relevant marker to define atypical CLL has been already considered (please insert in the reference section and discuss in the manuscript the papers on this topic such as: Immunophenotyping of Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. Falay M et al. Clin Lab. (2017), Atypical Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia with CD200 Expression Shares Similar Outcomes to Classical Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia Zoe Mongeau et al. Blood 2016 128:5572;</p> <p>The presence of 19 figures is inadequate indeed the immunocytofluorimetric analysis can be condensed in one or at maximum in two figures. The English editing language should be checked throughout the paper.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript deals with the use of CD200 as a marker of atypical CLL (aCLL) in a single case report. The manuscript is of interest although the analysis of CD200 and CD43 are already considered important to the diagnosis of aCLL.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alessandro Poggi
Department, University & Country	IRCCS AOU San Martino, Italy