

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_77131
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytological studies on graft union development with perennial chilli rootstocks
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In Concluding Remarks, the purposed research article paper present information on cytological studies on graft union development with perennial chilli rootstocks. I think that the microscopic approach is one of the best ways to investigate the early stages of the grafting process and structural changes at the graft interface because the formation of fine structures between the scion and rootstock aids in understanding the graft union process. The purpose of the present study is correctly formulated in terms of scientific value and novelty!</p> <p>The title clearly describes the article.</p> <p>The abstract describes the aim of the present study. The Introduction section is clearly laid out? Introduction section describe what the author hoped to achieve accurately</p> <p>In Results and Discussion section author/s explain well in words what he/she discovered in the present study.</p> <p>There are only a few comments about result from other research. Please find more researchers study which you have to include like a citation in the text and to modified a Results and Discussion section. Conclusions are clear and drawn correctly used literature is adequate and references are cited properly in the text. Please check all the references list and write them correctly.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Tatyana Boryanova Balabanova</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Food Technology, Bulgaria</i>