



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_57346
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis Studies on Grain Yield and Its Contributing Characters in 17 Maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L.) Varieties
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper presents little new in the methodology. The correlations presented are between populations and not within populations, which is always more interesting. However, because it involves materials that have not yet been studied, it may have merit.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ There are some doubts in the presentation, such as: ✓ What was the population of plants used per hectare? ✓ Was thinning used? ✓ What is the difference between the number of plants per plot and the number and plants harvested? ✓ What is field weight? ✓ The title of Table 2 does not indicate the indirect effects are in the row or column. <p>From a scientific point of view, there are some reservations about the correlation between number of plants and grain yield, as placed in the returned file. A good review of English is also recommended.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	João Antonio da Costa Andrade
Department, University & Country	Universidade Estadual Paulista, Brazil