



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_60217
Title of the Manuscript:	Bioaerosol Assessment of Selected Offices within a Polytechnic
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript investigated the airborne microbes in Selected Offices. This is a very important area for aerobiological research. However, it has several major shortcomings.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction and discussion did not know the authors having done a thorough literature review. Discussion is very sketchy and no discussion for most of results. Results and Discussion parts should be separated 2. Several environmental factors are not included in the study, such as human activities, building maintenance, HVAC system, air filter maintenance, house plants (if there is any), carpet/floor covering, wall covering or decoration, windows (open or closed all the time), vegetation in the area; temperature and humidity. 3. More details are needed about the sampling site, the number of floors of the building. What is the frequency of sampling? Some days in week? What season? Please Specify the sampling height. Details of the sampling point, including the distance from the window, wall, flower, etc. should be mentioned. 4. Is 30 minutes enough, considering that the sampling method is inactive? Would you please provide a reference? 5 I would encourage the authors to to summarize the information of sampling locations in the table. What was the criterion for room size for medium and large size? 5. Incubation time for bacteria and fungi should be mentioned separately. 6. Please Mention in the formula of units 7. In order to compare the obtained values with the presented guidelines, it is necessary to mention the guidelines. 8. Please use more up-to-date references. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I would recommend the authors to edit the manuscript and make it more concise	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Soheila Rezaei</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Yasuj University of Medical Sciences, Iran</i>