

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_71310
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMICAL EFFICACY OF WEED MANAGEMENT OPTIONS IN GROUNDNUT + PIGEONPEA RELAY INTERCROPPING SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Researcher should incorporate different weeds of groundnut and pigeon pea fields 2. Abstract should be brief summary of entire research. 3. Should add the significance of weed treatment 4. The language of script is very complicated it should be modify to simple manner to understand 5. the design of the manuscript should improve with particular experimental tables(replicates) 6. Researcher or Scholar should focus the outcome of the study. Which is useful to thesis also. 7. After modification, resubmit the script to the editor for the final decision 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The keywords should be four to five Mention separately the weed treatments in view of economic profit Give brief introduction of each herbicide. Ex: pendimethalin</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript needed full of revision and improvement of scientific writing.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Should use biosafety measures of herbicides, (farmers view)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Gaddeyya Gandipilli</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Andhra University, India</i>