

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_69806
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of organic manures and chemical fertilizers on growth, yield and quality traits of summer squash (Cucurbita pepo L.)” cv. Punjab Chappan Kaddu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The English need improvement since there are few grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript (For example, the words “on growth” may be as “on the growth”; “recommended” as “the recommended”; “to application” as “to the application”; “maximum” as “a maximum”; “medicinal” as “a medicinal”; “in area” as “in the area”; “the agricultural” as “agricultural”; “need of” as “a need for”; “vital” as “a vital”; “single” as “a single”; “of required” as “of the required”; “in right” as “in the right”; “pH” as “a pH”; “(Urea, DAP and MOP) was” as “(Urea, DAP and MOP) were”; “in experimental” as “in the experimental”; “finding are” as “finding is”; “with finding” as “with the finding”; “with use” as “with the use”; “of reproductive” as “of the reproductive”; “to combined” as “to the combined”; “first” as “the first”; “Maximum” as “A maximum”; “to better” as “to the better”; “of flower” as “of a flower”; “ratio” as “the ratio”; “almost same” as “almost the same”; “application” as “the application”; “optimum” as “an optimum”; “Non-significant” as “The non-significant”; “maximum” as “a maximum”; “that number” as “that the number”; “in increased” as “in an increased”; “higher” as “the higher”; “highest” as “the highest”; “as organic” as “as an organic”; “in present” as “in the present”; “to balance” as “to a balance”; “that influence” as “that the influence”; “ascorbic” as “the ascorbic”). The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text (For example, the words “above mentioned” may be as “above-mentioned”; “these synthesized cytokinin” as “this synthesized cytokinin or these synthesized cytokinins”; “growth promoting” as “growth-promoting”; “17.67 picking” as “17.67 pickings”; “fertilizes” as “fertilizers”; “of maximum” as “for maximum”; “vermi-compost” as “vermicompost”; “lead to” as “leads to”; “on growth” as “on the growth”; “Benefit cost” as “benefit-cost”). The typos not mentioned here also to be checked and corrected properly.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, FYM, IAA, NPK, TSS etc.). And it should be in both abstract as well as in the remaining part of the manuscript. The full form should be given when the first time appears and followed by only the first letter of the genus (e.g., <i>Cucurbita pepo</i> when the first time appear and followed by <i>C. pepo</i>).</p> <p>2. The statistical analysis should be included as a separate heading in the materials and methods with software and version used since the authors used ANOVA.</p> <p>3. The authors should properly give either T1 to T11 or T₁ to T₁₁ uniformly throughout the manuscript (subscript) and also the space alignment before and after “+”.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The authors have put effort to evaluate the “Effect of organic manures and chemical fertilizers on growth, yield and quality traits of summer squash (<i>Cucurbita pepo</i> L.)” cv. Punjab Chappan Kaddu”. The authors describe the research undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by their group. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the minor shortcomings which need to be considered. Hence, the paper can be accepted after MINOR REVISIONS are carried out.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>P. Sampathkumar</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>SASTRA Deemed University, India</i>