



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_56103
Title of the Manuscript:	ISSUES IN CLIMATE SMART AGRICULTURE IN SOUTHEASTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/sdi-general-editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are grammatical errors and poor constructions of English sentences (Even the first sentence of the Introduction needs revision.) Consult an expert English language editor for this matter. 2. Do not begin a sentence with a "number-format" citation. (Do not also use a number-format citation as the subject of a sentence.) For example, the sentence "[2] opined that over 70 percent ... livelihood" can be rewritten as "Over 70% ... livelihood [2]." The sentence "[4] document that crop yield ..." can be rewritten in several ways such as: "Crop yield ... [4]." or "A study [4] document that crop yield ..." or "As documented by [4], crop yield..." Do similar revisions to other sentences that begin with a number-format citation. 3. Rewrite the objectives of the study in paragraph form and as the last paragraph of the Introduction. (No need to write it as a subsection if to be stated only and without further discussion.) 4. The citations "Mendelsohn et al." and "NPC (2006)" should also be written using number-format and the references should be included in the list of references at the end of the paper. 5. There should be a brief narrative discussion (in one paragraph before the table) on what was presented in Table 1 (but avoid merely repeating what was clearly presented in the table). 6. A section for the methodology employed for the conduct of this review paper should be provided also. 7. There should be findings/results that correspond to each stated study objective. Discussion per result should also be provided. The recommendations should not be part of findings (new section title: Results and Discussion) and should be part of conclusion (new section title: Conclusions and Recommendations). Observe a one-to-one correspondence among objectives, results and conclusions. 8. Complete the bibliographic entries for the reference Onwutuebe, C. J. (2019). Recheck the issue number and page numbers of the following references: Terdoo, F. & Adekola, O. (2014); Okoro, J., Agwu, A., & Anugwa, I. (2016). For reference no. 6, write the first six authors followed by ellipsis (three dots) and then last author and publication year. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Romer C. Castillo
Department, University & Country	Batangas State University, Philippines