



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_55537
Title of the Manuscript:	Emission from Uyo Main Refuse Dumpsite and Potential Impact on Health
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/sdi-general-editorial-policy>



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The general rules of academic writing and the Author Guidelines of this Journal have not been taken into account:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article has no hierarchical structure. 2. References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets []. 3. This article contains references to Barakat-Haddad, (2015) but is not listed in the Reference List – lines 23, 44. 4. Tables should be placed inside the text. It is suggested that the discussion about the tables should appear in the text before the appearance of the respective tables - Table 1 is on line 218 but reference is on line 67; Table 2 is on line 218 but reference is on line 67; Table 2 is on line 220 but reference is on line 67; Table 3 is on line 222 but reference is on line 68; Table 4 is on line 224 but reference is on line 76. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Particulate matter such as PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, is defined as the fraction of particles with an aerodynamic diameter smaller than respectively 10, 2.5 µm, therefore, there is no need to add units µm after the PM symbol – lines 130, 135. 2. It must always be a space between the numerical value and unit symbol except the plane angle and percent – line 220. 3. In Table 1, there is no point repeating the units in each row of the Range and Alarm levels columns, they can be displayed, for example, in the header row or in the Parameter column. 4. Not all units are formatted correctly in the header row of Table 4. 5. This article contains references to (HPA, 2009b), but no source and reference (HPA, 2009a) - in this case, adding letters to the year is completely pointless. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Headings at different levels should be formatted according to Guidelines of the Journal – for example – 1. INTRODUCTION (Arial, Bold, 11 font, left aligned, caps), etc. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agu Eensaar
Department, University & Country	Tallinn University of Applied Sciences, Estonia