



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_55426
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical profile and phytomineral status of Spigelia anthelmia linn leaves
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. This manuscript is too simple. No one figure. From the manuscript, we knew <i>S. anthelmia</i> was for ethnobotanical and folkloric uses. Is it the first time to analyze and report the phytochemical of this plant in this study?</p> <p>2. I suggested the author make some implement with previous studies on this plant in Introduction section. Introduction section read more like a ordinary literature review of medicinal plant as well as we all known knowledge, and this adds to the feeling of "lengthiness". a similar situation occurs in the section of Discussion. The authors should work on reducing the information in this paper to only what is necessary. I suggested the author should provide a plant picture.</p> <p>3. The author used the simply methods of the phytochemical compounds analysis. I think they should isolate or quantify the main compounds such as saponin and tannin using the HPLC or other sensitive methods.</p> <p>4. If the <i>S. anthelmia</i> mainly used as ethnobotanical medicine. It had better provide some information about ethnobotany?</p> <p>5. I suggested the author add some information about phytochemical compound in different tissues (root, stem) and answer why the leave mainly used for medicinal potential.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juan Chen
Department, University & Country	Institute of Medicinal Plant, China